

BUDGET CUTS & THE DEBT CEILING

If we slash trillions from the federal budget, what does that do to our GDP?

Presented by Craig S. Darnell, CFP®

The summer of discontent stretches on. As July ebbs into August, we have no resolution on the federal debt limit issue. The possibility of default is still in play. Republican leaders want major cuts to entitlement programs as a condition of raising the debt ceiling; Democrats agree on the necessity of cuts but also want tax hikes for the wealthiest Americans to bring in added revenue.

A trillion-dollar divide. On July 14, CNBC.com reported that both parties had tentatively agreed on nearly \$1.4 trillion worth of reductions to the federal budget. That's not too surprising: \$1.4 trillion is the projected size of the budget gap for the fiscal year ending in September. Republicans have called for \$2.4 trillion in cuts.^{1,2}

This federal belt-tightening is going to lead politicians, economists and consumers into the second part of the debt cap conversation. Two very important questions demand our attention.

If we cut trillions from the federal budget, how will that affect GDP? In fiscal year 2009, federal spending represented 24.7% of U.S. gross domestic product. The Office of Management and Budget projected this figure to grow to 25.4% in FY 2010 and stay at 25.1% in FY 2011. The percentages haven't been this high since 1946.³

The OMB thinks that federal spending will average about 23% of GDP between here and 2020; the Congressional Budget Office thinks the percentage will be slightly greater. It is worth noting that the federal government has only gathered (on average) 18.5% of GDP in tax revenues annually across the past 30 years. A decline in GDP means less tax revenue coming in, and less tax revenue may increase pressure to trim Medicare and Social Security. That's a scenario that implies a quick sunset for the EGTRRA/JGTRRA tax breaks that Congress has extended.^{3,4}

Will consumer spending continue to grow with less federal spending? The 2008 stimulus either propped up consumer spending or at least encouraged consumers to think more positively about it. There has been talk of a \$196 billion haircut to federal nondefense discretionary spending across the next two fiscal years; one liberal think tank, the Economic Policy Institute, thinks this could remove 900,000 jobs from the economy next year and 1.3 million jobs in 2013, which would not bode well for housing, discretionary spending, retail sales, durable goods orders, consumer credit - the list is long. Conservatives counter with the belief that the economy has recovered to the degree that it doesn't need such massive federal spending, and that the economy will strengthen further over the next couple of years.⁵

If the economy wanes, what happens to stocks? The mood on Wall Street doesn't always correspond to the mood on Main Street, but this much is certain: pre-retirees

Blakeslee & Blakeslee, Inc.

Member SIPC

Member FINRA

can't stomach another stock market downturn. Investors who are a decade or less from their envisioned retirement dates cannot imagine pushing back retirements even further. Recently, the mood on Wall Street has been cautiously bullish - but if the bulls bolt thinking that the economy is stagnating or sliding back into recession, the near future may call for some active or tactical portfolio management.

Let's hope the "what if" stays hypothetical. The brinkmanship will probably give way to an accord at the tenth or eleventh hour, and we may see small short-term cuts as a prelude to bigger long-term cuts and reforms to entitlement programs.

What if no deal is reached by the August 2 deadline set by the Treasury Department? The Bipartisan Policy Center forecasts that the federal government would have to spend \$134 billion less than planned during the rest of the month. So \$134 billion would be removed from the U.S. economy in 29 days. This is more than 10% of America's monthly GDP. Imagine that happening for a start, and then factor in a 9% jobless rate and the possibility of a stock market swoon and higher interest rates.⁶

It is not a pretty scenario, and it is one the U.S. will hopefully avoid. If a new Reuters poll is any indication, most economists think disaster will be averted - 38 of 40 economists recently surveyed by the news agency believe legislators will reach a deal before August 2 rolls around.¹

Craig S. Darnell, CFP® may be reached at (805) 543-4366 or
Craig@SLOFinancialPlanning.com
Visit us online at www.SLOFinancialPlanning.com

This material was prepared by MarketingLibrary.Net Inc., and does not necessarily represent the views of the presenting party, nor their affiliates. All information is believed to be from reliable sources; however we make no representation as to its completeness or accuracy. The publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting or other professional services. If assistance is needed, the reader is advised to engage the services of a competent professional. This information should not be construed as investment, tax or legal advice and may not be relied on for the purpose of avoiding any Federal tax penalty.

Citations.

- 1 - cnbc.com/id/43755316 [7/14/11]
- 2 - reuters.com/article/2011/07/08/us-usa-economy-fiscal-idUSTRE7676KI20110708 [7/8/11]
- 3 - mercatus.org/publication/controlling-federal-spending [6/22/10]
- 4 - cbo.gov/ftpdocs/120xx/doc12039/HistoricalTables%5B1%5D.pdf [1/11]
- 5 - csmonitor.com/Business/2011/0428/Economy-cools-as-government-spending-drops.-Wrong-time-for-budget-cuts [4/28/11]
- 6 - blogs.forbes.com/beltway/2011/07/14/tea-party-zealots-scare-mcconnell-straight-on-debt-limit/ [7/14/11]
- 7 - montoyaregistry.com/Financial-Market.aspx?financial-market=retirement-investment-funds&category=3 [7/15/11]